

**ANNEXURE - I**

**Tamilnadu Textbook and Educational Services Corporation, Chennai – 6.**

**SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF SOCKS IN TAMIL NADU ON ANNUAL RATE CONTRACT BASIS**

**FOR THE YEAR 2022-2023 (R.C.No.10454/PUR-1/2021)**

SUPPLY AND DELIVERY OF SOCKS TO THE SCHOOL CHILDREN STUDYING FROM VI TO X Std. GOVERNMENT / GOVERNMENT AIDED SCHOOLS  
IN TAMIL NADU ON ANNUAL RATE CONTRACT BASIS For the year 2022-2023 / RC.No.10454/PUR-1/2021

Name of the work / Contract No.

Date of tender invited :

Date of tender opening :

Date of award of contract :

Sl. No.	Name of the bidders participated in the tender	Name of bidder not qualified technically and the reasons	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 5% GST)			Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 5% GST)				
			Bidder	Navy Blue	Black	Grey	Navy Blue	Black	Grey	
				Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	
				GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	
1	M/s. AOV Clever Knits LLP, New Delhi	Recommended	M/s. AOV Clever Knits LLP, New Delhi	17.89 0.89 ----- 18.78	17.89 0.89 ----- 18.78	17.89 0.89 ----- 18.78	17.15 0.85 ----- 18.00	17.15 0.85 ----- 18.00	17.15 0.85 ----- 18.00	
Purchase order issued to the above Supplier for the Supply of Socks for the year - 2022 -2023										
2	M/s. Manjeet Plastic Industries, Haryana.	Recommended	Recommended	Recommended	Recommended	Recommended	Recommended	Recommended	Recommended	Recommended
3	M/s. Lucky Textiles, Vellore, TN	Not Recommended	Not Recommended	Not Recommended	1) Production capacity not certified by the Chartered Engineer as per tender caluse 4.4.1. 2) Experience not submitted as per tender caluse 4.4.5.	Not Recommended	Not Recommended	Not Recommended	Not Recommended	Not Recommended
4	M/s. Arun Hosiery Products, Delhi	Not Recommended. As per field inspection adverse remarks.	Not Recommended. As per field inspection adverse remarks.	Not Recommended.	Not Recommended. As per field inspection adverse remarks.	Not Recommended.	Not Recommended.	Not Recommended.	Not Recommended.	Not Recommended.

Sl. No.	Name of the bidders participated in the tender	Name of bidder not qualified technically and the reasons	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 5% GST)			Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 5% GST)			
		Reason	Bidder	Navy Blue	Black	Grey	Navy Blue	Black	Grey
				Basic Price	Basic Price	Basic Price	Basic Price	Basic Price	Basic Price
				GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%
5	M/s. Varun International, Delhi	Recommended.	Recommended.						
6	M/s. Chhokra Hosiery, Delhi	Not Recommended. Inhouse testing facility not available.	Not Recommended. Inhouse testing facility not available.						
7	M/s. Lehar Footwear Ltd., Jaipur	Recommended	Recommended						
8	M/s. School Needs World, Kanniyakumari, TN	Not Recommended	Not Recommended						
9	M/s. V.K.S. Hosiery, Delhi	Not Recommended.	Not Recommended.						
10	M/s. BNG Fashion Gears Pvt Ltd.,	Recommended	Recommended						

Sl. No.	Name of the bidders participated in the tender	Name of bidder not qualified technically and the reasons	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 5% GST)			Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 5% GST)			
			Bidder	Navy Blue	Black	Grey	Navy Blue	Black	Grey
				Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise
				GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%
11	M/s. XO Footwear Pvt Ltd., New Delhi	Not Recommended Hence, their tender is Not Recommended.	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Not Recommended</b></p> <p>1) Adverse remarks given by field inspection, this Company is not capable to manufacture of Socks as per tender clause 4.4.1 2) As per Tender Clause 4.31.3 Complaint has been received against M/s. XO Footwear (Velcro Sandal) Pvt. Ltd that misleading information/ incorrect undertaking has been submitted the above bidder to misrepresent the fact before TNTB&amp;ESC in order to influence the evaluation process to detriment of the corporation which requires the action against the bidder as per clause No.4.31.3. TNTB&amp;ESC has sought clarification from the above bidder regarding declaration given in Annexure VI. The bidder replied that (i) M/s Jharkhand educational Project Council had debarred them for 1 year in their department tenders only, (ii) they have filed suit against the incorrect department notice in the High Court and then Supreme Court and (iii) interim Stay for the same has already been received from Supreme Court. TNTB&amp;ESC has sought the opinion of AAG IX of TamilNadu with regard to the complaint received against M/s. XO Footwear (Velcro Sandal) Pvt. Ltd The AAG IX of TamilNadu opined that the tenders of M/s. XO Footwear (Velcro Sandal) Pvt. Ltd are liable to be rejected as the tenderer has submitted their tenders without disclosing blacklisting. Hence, their tender is Not Recommended.</p>						
12	M/s. Powertech Elctroinfra (P) Ltd., Ghaziabad, U.P	Not Recommended	Not Recommended						

Sl. No.	Name of the bidders participated in the tender	Name of bidder not qualified technically and the reasons	Name of technically qualified bidder and the quoted rate (inclusive of 5% GST)			Negotiated L1 Rate (inclusive of 5% GST)			
			Bidder	Navy Blue	Black	Grey	Navy Blue	Black	Grey
				Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise	Basic Prise
				GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%	GST 5%
13	M/s.Aditya Knitwears, Delhi	Not Recommended	Not Recommended Inhouse testing facility not available						
14	M/s. Vinishma Technology Pvt Ltd., Delhi	Not Recommended	Not Recommended The Unit is not Engaged in the production Socks and the machinery is not Eligible for production. As per tender caluse 4.22						
15	M/s. B.K. International Pvt Ltd., Delhi	Not Recommended	Not Recommended The Ovaer draft CC is not provided for the production profile. 2) There is no certified copy of production capacity from Chartered Engineer						

Sd/-  
Tamilnadu Textbook and Educational Services Corporation,  
Chennai - 6